CS51 2024 Midterm 2 Answer Key

This answer key provides possible answers to the midterm, with discussion of their basis and alternatives. It is not intended to be an exhaustive discussion of the questions. There may be other answers that would get full credit, and not all issues that might affect grading are discussed.

Q2.1: True. Tail-recursive functions, and all recursive functions, require the **rec** keyword. On the other hand, there's a usage of "tail-recursive" by which a non-recursive function whose computation involves calling recursive functions may be deemed tail-recursive if the embedded calls are all to tail-rcursive functions. In such a case, no **rec** keyword is needed since the function is not dirctly recursive. We therefore gave full credit for both possible answers.

Q2.2: True. Type inference reconstructs the types, so that type annotations are not required. They may be helpful, however, for the purpose of expressing the programmer's intention to facilitate finding bugs.

Q2.3: False. Constructors declared in variant types can have arguments, which are specified using of. A simple example is the color type from lab 5.

```
type color =
    | Simple of color_label
    | RGB of int * int * int ;;
```

Both constructors Simple and RGB take an argument.

Q2.4: False. The value in a lazy expression such as lazy (1 + 1) is computed at its first use, and then cached so is not reevaluated thereafter. At the time the value is declared, the value remains unevaluated, and indeed may never be evaluated if the expression is never forced.

Q2.5: False. Although looping expressions *are* used solely for their side effects, like all OCaml expressions, they do return a value, namely the unit value ().

Q2.6: True. The reference **ref** 42 has type **int ref**, and like all expressions cannot change its type.

```
# let r = ref 42 ;;
val r : int ref = {contents = 42}
# r := 21 ;;
- : unit = ()
# r := true ;;
Error: This expression has type bool but an expression was expected of type
int
```

Q2.7: False. OCaml doesn't provide a specific type for streams. Rather, streams can be implemented as user code, as done in the NativeLazyStreams module reproduced in Q4 of this exam.

Q2.8: False. The substitution yields the expression 37 + 5, not 42.

Q2.9: False. The free variables in the expression are f, y, and z, and the FV definition would reflect that.

Q3.1: We wrap the condition and body in unit functions.

```
let rev xs =
    let xs = ref xs in
    let accum = ref [] in
    (while_ (fun () -> !xs <> [])
        (fun () ->
            accum := (List.hd !xs) :: !accum;
            xs := List.tl !xs));
!accum ;;
```

Some submissions named the delayed condition and body:

That works too.

Q3.2: We replace the iteration of the while loop with recursion. Note the forcing of the condition and body by applying to ().

```
let rec while_ (condition : unit -> bool) (body : unit -> unit) : unit =
    if condition () then
        (body ();
        while_ condition body) ;;
```

It's a bit inelegant to have to keep providing the same two arguments in each recursive call. An alternative is to define an auxiliary function that can refers to the arguments in the outer while_ definition.

```
let while_ (condition : unit -> bool) (body : unit -> unit) : unit =
    let rec while' () =
        if condition () then
            (body ();
            while' ()) in
    while' () ;;
```

In these implementations, the if doesn't need an else, as the conditional returns a unit, although adding else () would still be a correct, if less idiomatic, implementation.

Q3.3: Implementing while_ in terms of while merely requires forcing the condition and body when needed.

```
let while_ condition body =
  while condition () do
    body ()
```

 $\mathbf{2}$

done ;;

Q3.4: It is not possible to implement the delaying needed in the while_function using OCaml's Lazy module. Because delayed values built using lazy are memoized, they are not evaluated each time they are forced. Thus, the condition will be evaluated only and exactly once. If it evalues to true, on each later evaluation it will return true, and the loop will never end. Similarly, the body will only be evaluated once, not each time through the loop, so the intended side effects from the subsequent evaluations will not be generated.

We can test this by replacing the function delaying in the definition above.

```
let while_ condition body =
  while (sLazy.force condition) do
   Lazy.force body
  done ;;
let rev xs =
  let xs = ref xs in
  let accum = ref [] in
  (while_ (lazy (!xs <> []))
    (lazy
        (accum := (List.hd !xs) :: !accum;
        xs := List.tl !xs)));
!accum ;;
```

Using rev with this while_ definition, we end up in an infinite loop that requires an ungraceful exit.

```
# rev [1; 2; 3; 4] ;;
^CInterrupted.
#
```

Q4.1: There are multiple approaches that will work. Here is perhaps the simplest.

```
let rec stream_of x =
    lazy (Cons (x, stream_of x)) ;;
```

Another thought is to make use of **ones**, and multiply each element by **x**:

```
let rec ones = lazy (Cons (1, ones)) ;;
let stream_of x =
  smap (( * ) x) ones ;;
```

but this is not polymorphic.

Q4.2: Here, we start with 0 and then add n to the every_nth stream.

```
let rec every_nth (n : int) : int stream =
lazy (Cons (0, smap ((+) n) (every_nth n))) ;;
```

The mapping approach that was insufficient for Q4.1 works here, since the solution is inherently monomorphic. We can multiply the **nats** stream by the argument **n**.

```
let rec nats =
    lazy (Cons (0, smap succ nats)) ;;
let every_nth (n : int) : int stream =
    smap (( * ) n) nats ;;
Q5.1:
let listarr = [ref 0; ref 5; ref 10] ;;
Q5.2:
```

4

let update (listarr : 'a ref list) (index : int) (new_value : 'a) : unit =
 (List.nth listarr index) := new_value ;;

We don't need to raise the exceptions, because, thankfully, List.nth does that for us, as depicted in the next problem (Q5.3).

Q5.3: The interpreter tells us the type of the function, namely, 'a list \rightarrow int \rightarrow 'a:

Q5.4: The recursion through the list will extend in the worst case to the very end of the list. The function is thus linear, O(n).

Q5.5: For long enough sequences, implementing them with arrays will provide for more time-efficient indexing than implementing them with reference lists.

Q6.1: All of the information can be inherited from the library_item_type except for get_runtime, which we add to the class type.

```
class type dvd_type =
   object
    inherit library_item_type
    method get_runtime : int
   end ;;
```

Q6.2: Again, almost everything can be inherited from the library_item class. We need to add get_runtime and override get_details, which can augment the get_details result from the superclass.

```
class dvd (title : string) (id : string) (runtime : int) : dvd_type =
   object
    inherit library_item title id as super
   val runtime : int = runtime
   method get_runtime : int = runtime
   method! get_details : string =
        "DVD " ^ super#get_details ^ ", Runtime: " ^ (string_of_int runtime) ^ " minutes"
   end;;
```

Q6.3: Only one line needs to be added to the library_item_type class type to specify the print_details method.

```
class type library_item_type =
   object
    method get_title : string
    method get_id : string
    method get_details : string
    method print_details : unit
   end ;;
```

Q6.4: Only one line needs to be added to the library_item class to implement the print_details method, which just uses get_details to generate the details to be printed.

```
class library_item (title : string) (id : string) : library_item_type =
   object (this)
   val title : string = title
   val id : string = id
   method get_title : string = title
   method get_id : string = id
   method get_details : string = "Title: " ^ title ^ ", ID: " ^ id
   method print_details : unit = print_endline (this#get_details)
   end ;;
```

Q6.5: If the library_item_type code is modified as above, no changes are needed to the dvd_type code. It will continue to inherit the needed methods, including print_details.

Q6.6: If the library_item code is modified as above, no changes are needed to the dvd code. It will continue to inherit the needed methods, including print_details. That's the payoff of inheritance.

```
Q7.1:
```

```
let x = ref 42 in x
Q7.2:
let x = ref (fun y -> y) in x
Q7.3:
let f = fun y -> x + y in
let x = 42 in
x
Q7.4:
let x = ref (let x = 42 in fun y -> x + y) in x
or
let x = 42 in let x = ref (fun y -> x + y) in x
```