```
(*
```

CS51 Lab 13

Procedural Programming and Loops

*)

(* Objective:

This lab introduces and provides practice with:

- loops and procedural programming
- tail recursion as an alternate form of iteration.

*)

Part 1: Revisiting list operations

In Lab 2, you created recursive functions to find the lengths and sums of lists. Below are examples of both:

As noted in the textbook, these functional recursive implementations may run into stack overflow errors when called on exceptionally long lists.

```
# sum (List.init 1_000_000 Fun.id) ;;
Stack overflow during evaluation (looping recursion?).
```

As computation proceeds, each recursive call of 'length tl' or 'sum tl' is suspended, with the suspended computation stored on a stack, until we reach the end of the list. At that point, the stack finally unwinds and the expression is evaluated. If the number of calls grows too large, we run out of room allocated to the stack of suspended computations, and the computation fails with a 'Stack_overflow' exception.

Two ways of addressing this problem are (i) using a tail recursive function or (ii) using an explicit loop.

In a tail recursive function the recursive invocation *is* the final result of the invoking call. The value of the recursive function is immediately returned; no further computation must be done to it, so no suspended computation needs to be stored on the call stack, thus avoiding the problem of stack overflow.

Below, the length function above has been rewritten to use a tail-recursive auxiliary function 'length_tr' (the "tr" stands for "tail-recursive") to demonstrate this:

The technique used here, using a tail-recursive auxiliary function

that makes use of an added argument that acts as an accumulator for the partial results, is a common one for converting functions to tail-recursive form.

```
Exercise 1: Tail-recursive sum
```

Rewrite the 'sum' function to be tail recursive. (As usual, for this and succeeding exercises, you shouldn't feel beholden to how the definition is introduced in the skeleton code below. For instance, you might want to add a 'rec', or use a different argument list, or no argument list at all but binding to an anonymous function instead.)

Verify your implementation is tail-recursive by summing up the elements of an extremely long list, like this 1,000,000 element list:

```
# prods [1; 2; 3] [1; 2; 3] ;;
-: int list = [1; 4; 9]
```

Your initial try at a tail-recursive function may output a list that is in reverse order of your expected output. This is a common outcome in tail-recursive list iteration functions. (In general, you'd want to consider whether or not this has negative outcomes on your intended use case. It may be that the output order is not significant.)

In this case, for testing purposes, please preserve the initial ordering of the lists. One method to do so is simply to reverse the list at the end, using a tail-recursive reversal function of course. Fortunately, the built-in 'List.rev' is tail-recursive (even though the documentation doesn't mention that fact).

.....*)

```
let prods _ =
  failwith "prods not implemented" ;;
```

.....*)

```
let prods_opt _ =
  failwith "prods not implemented" ;;
```

(*....

Exercise 4: Finally, combine your 'sum' and 'prods' functions to

```
create a tail-recursive dot product function (that is, the sum of the
products of corresponding elements of the lists). (For reference, you
implemented dot product in lab 2.)
.....*)
let dotprod _ =
 failwith "dotprod not implemented" ;;
Part 2: Loops
Another method of solving the problem of stack overflow when dealing
with large lists is to use loops. Unlike tail recursion, loops rely on
state change in order to function, and therefore go beyond the now
familiar purely functional paradigm, bringing us into the domain of
procedural programming.
Let's get some practice with simple loops.
Exercise 5: Write two non-recursive functions, 'odds_while' and
'odds_for', that use 'while' and 'for' loops, respectively, to return
a list of all positive odd numbers less than or equal to a given
'int'. (Don't worry about dealing with negative arguments.)
For example, we expect the following behavior:
 # odds while 10
 -: int list = [1; 3; 5; 7; 9]
 # odds_for 7
 -: int list = [1; 3; 5; 7]
.....*)
let odds_while (limit : int) : int list =
 failwith "odds_while not implemented" ;;
let odds_for (limit : int) : int list =
 failwith "odds_for not implemented" ;;
Exercise 6: Rewrite the functional recursive 'sum' function from above
using a 'while' loop.
For reference, here is the 'length' function implemented using a
'while' loop, as in the reading:
   let length_iter (lst : 'a list) : int =
     let counter = ref 0 in (* initialize the counter *)
    counter := succ !counter; (* increment the counter *)
      lstr := List.tl !lstr
                             (* drop element from list *)
     done;
     !counter ;;
                              (* return the counter value *)
Note that both the counter for the loop and the list need to be
references. Otherwise, their values can't be changed and the loop
will never terminate.
.....*)
let sum_iter (lst : int list) : int =
 failwith "sum_iter not implemented" ;;
Exercise 7: Rewrite the recursive 'prods' function from above using a
```

```
'while' loop. Don't forget to handle the case where the two lists
have different lengths, by raising an appropriate exception.
.....*)
let prods_iter (xs : int list) (ys : int list) : int list =
 failwith "prods_iter not implemented" ;;
(* You've now implemented 'prods' a few times, so think about which of
them you think is the most efficient, and which of them required the
most work to write. Remember the famous quotation from computer
scientist Donald Knuth: "We should forget about small efficiencies,
say about 97% of the time: premature optimization is the root of all
evil."
Tail recursion is a type of optimization, and it may not always be
worth the sacrifice in development time and code readability.
Iterative solutions in a functional programming language like OCaml
may also not be worth the time. It is critical to assess the impact
that your use cases will have on your design. *)
(*....
Exercise 8: You'll now reimplement one last familiar function:
reversing a list. Write a non-recursive function 'reverse' to reverse
a list. (This function is implemented tail-recursively in the `List`
module as 'List.rev' (see
https://github.com/ocaml/ocaml/blob/trunk/stdlib/list.ml), and you've
likely used it in previous exercises.)
.....*)
let reverse (lst : 'a list) : 'a list =
 failwith "reverse not implemented" ;;
(* As you've observed in this lab, procedural programming can be
useful, but most problems can and typically should be solved with
functional techniques. However, there is one famous problem that you
may be familiar with a procedural implementation of: CS50's
Mario. (For those unfamiliar, the task is to print a half-pyramid of
lines of a given height as shown in the example below. See
https://docs.cs50.net/2017/ap/problems/mario/less/mario.html.) *)
(*....
Exercise 9: Implement a function in the procedural paradigm that
prints out a half-pyramid of a specified height, per the below. Use
hashes (#) for blocks. The function should raise an 'Invalid_argument'
exception for heights greater than 23. (Why? I don't know. That's just
how CS50 did it.)
   # mario 5 ;;
       ##
      ###
     ####
    #####
   ######
   -: unit =()
   # mario 24
   Exception: Invalid_argument "This pyramid is way too high for Mario".
.....*)
```

let mario (height : int) : unit =
 failwith "mario not implemented" ;;